

Message

---

**From:** Martinson, Mathew [martinson.mathew@epa.gov]  
**Sent:** 4/20/2020 9:59:21 PM  
**To:** Martinson, Mathew [martinson.mathew@epa.gov]; Kenknight, Jeff [Kenknight.Jeff@epa.gov]  
**Subject:** LUB-GWMA letter and next steps

Martinson, Mathew 2:35 PM:

I added Karen to tomorrow's meeting. If we're going to dig deeper into the CWS and nitrates, I want Karen to hear the scope and limits directly from our meeting. We might want one of the GDWS staff (Chris A) to help Adam take a fresh look.

Kenknight, Jeff 2:51 PM:  
sounds good

Martinson, Mathew 2:51 PM:  
I just had a thought.

Kenknight, Jeff 2:51 PM:  
go

Martinson, Mathew 2:51 PM:  
I remember when this kicked off, Ed had a direct request that if there's a way to mesh this with the NCI for DW we should.

Martinson, Mathew 2:52 PM:  
I think he just told you and you told me. DOes that sound like an accurate recouting of his thought?

Kenknight, Jeff 2:52 PM:  
yes

Kenknight, Jeff 2:52 PM:  
we could to files reviews

Kenknight, Jeff 2:52 PM:  
do our work and get credit

Martinson, Mathew 2:52 PM:  
It just strikes me that if the OHA is soft-peddling with long compliance schedules for nitrates, this could help tighten that up

Martinson, Mathew 2:53 PM:  
Or bring the question of excessively long compliance schedules into an ongoing discussion (that may be outside the immediate scope of the LUB GWMA conversation).

Martinson, Mathew 2:53 PM:  
But it may open up an NCI avenue and improve some of their HBV issues.

Kenknight, Jeff 2:54 PM:  
worth a discussion for sure. lets bring it up tomorrow

Kenknight, Jeff 2:54 PM:  
we only have 30 minutes scheduled at this time. calendars are tight with PARS etc.

Martinson, Mathew 2:55 PM:  
Ok. I'm thinking that for the ones that are under a compliance schedule (but where they had nitrate hits), we peel that back a little more.

Martinson, Mathew 2:55 PM:

That's why I wanted to include Karen. They have other meetings with OHA this week. (PWSS and SRF funding reviews.) She might have an opening.

Kenknight, Jeff 2:56 PM:

just to be clear, ones with open orders? I think Adam said may just 2 with open orders

Martinson, Mathew 2:56 PM:

Yes. That's what I'm thinking. Unless there is a system with MCL violations that isn't under an order (but I understood that there weren't any in that category.)

Kenknight, Jeff 2:57 PM:

sounds good

Martinson, Mathew 2:57 PM:

Maybe it's not much of a "peel back". It might be a simple clarification.

Martinson, Mathew 2:58 PM:

Anyway - the opening to questioning their compliance schedules as an opening to the NCI topic was my thought.